Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011 Assigned 31-Mar-2014 Screening 7-Apr-2014 PCW 24-Apr-2014 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent Joe's & Cho, Inc. dba Joe's Future Food Mart Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN101432268 Facility/Site Region 4-Dallas/Fort Worth Major/Minor Source Minor **CASE INFORMATION** Enf./Case ID No. 48536 Docket No. 2014-0568-PST-E No. of Violations Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Government/Non-Profit No Enf. Coordinator Margarita Dennis Multi-Media EC's Team Enforcement Team 7 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Maximum \$25,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 \$1,250 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. **Compliance History** \$312 25.0% Enhancement Enhancement for one agreed order with denial of liability and one NOV Notes with same/similar violations. Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 \$0

The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

0.0% Enhancement*

*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount

0.0%

20.0%

Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments

\$36

\$500

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Total EB Amounts

Approx. Cost of Compliance

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$1,562

\$1,562

\$1,562

-\$312

\$1,250

Subtotal 5

Subtotal 6

Final Subtotal

Adjustment

Adjustment

Final Penalty Amount

Final Assessed Penalty

Reduction

Notes

Economic Benefit

Notes

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

DEFERRAL

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Screening Date 7-Apr-2014

Docket No. 2014-0568-PST-E

Respondent Joe's & Cho, Inc. dba Joe's Future Food Mart

Case ID No. 48536

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101432268

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Margarita Dennis

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Compliance History Worksheet

Component	Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjus
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	1	5%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	1	20%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria)		0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%
Audits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)		0%

	Ple	ease Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 25%

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 09

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Satisfactory Performer Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7)

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes

Enhancement for one agreed order with denial of liability and one NOV with same/similar violations.

Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 25%

>> Final Compliance History Adjustment

Final Adjustment Percentage *capped at 100%

25%

Screening Date	5	Docket No. 2014-0568-P	ST-E PCW
Respondent Case ID No.	Joe's & Cho, Inc. dba Joe's Futu	re Food Mart	Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Reference No.			PCW Revision August 3, 2011
	Petroleum Storage Tank		THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O
Enf. Coordinator			il minimization management in the contract of
Violation Number			
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin.	Code § 115.242(d)(3)(C) and (E)	
Violation Description	condition, as specified by the Resources Board Executive (effectiveness of the system. S torn with a slit greater than	e II vapor recovery system in prop manufacturer and/or any applicable. Order, and free of defects that wo pecifically, the nozzle boot on disp one inch in length and the vapor of disperser no. 2 was damaged.	e California Air uld impair the enser no. 4 was
		*	Base Penalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Proper		itrix	
Release	Harm Major Moderate	Minor	-
OR Actual		×	The state of the s
Potential		Percent	5.0%
>>Programmatic Matrix			п
Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor	77
	<u> </u>	Percent	0.0%
Human healt	h or the environment has been ex	sposed to insignificant amounts of	pollutants which
	l levels that are protective of hum	an health or environmental recept	
	the \	violation.	
		Adjustment	\$23,750
		•	
			\$1,250
Violation Events			
Number of	Violation Events 1	46 Number of vi	olation days
	daily		полительного
	weekly		TO THE STATE OF TH
mark only one	monthly x	Violatio	on Base Penalty \$1,250
with an x	semiannual		
	annual		
	single event		
One quarterly	event is recommended based on	documentation of the violation du	ring the February
		ne April 7, 2014 screening date.	
Good Faith Efforts to Com		duction	\$0
an phonophore	Extraordinary Before NOV NOV	V to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	Ordinary		
a de la constitución de la const		ark with x)	
	Notes The Respondent	does not meet the good faith crite this violation.	ria for
		Vie	plation Subtotal \$1,250
	Al 1- 1 1-11		
Economic Benefit (EB) for			Limit Test
Estimat	ed EB Amount		al Penalty Total \$1,563
	This violation	on Final Assessed Penalty (adju	usted for limits) \$1,563

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101432268 Media Petroleum Storage Tank Violation No. 1					Percent Interest Depreciation		
Fiolation No.						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs		- 19 T					
Equipment	\$500	17-Dec-2013	26-Dec-2014		\$2	\$34	\$36
Buildings		4.0		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land			7.0	0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs		J		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)]		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	A CONTRACTION OF STREET			1000000000000	3033304.00030080000000000000000000000000	guard in dispenser n	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
Notes for DELAYED costs	respecti	vely. Date require	ed is the initial i	nvestig compli	ation date. Final iance.	date is the estimate	ed date of
Avoided Costs	respecti	vely. Date require	ed is the initial i	nvestig compli enterir	pation date. Final lance. ng item (except	date is the estimate for one-time avoice	ed date of
	respecti	vely. Date require	ed is the initial i	nvestig compli enterir 0.00	pation date. Final lance. ng item (except \$0	date is the estimate for one-time avoid	ed date of
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	respecti	vely. Date require	ed is the initial i	onvestig compli enterir 0.00	ation date. Final lance. ng item (except \$0 \$0	date is the estimate for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	ed date of led costs) \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling	respecti	vely. Date require	ed is the initial i	nvestig compli enterir 0.00	pation date. Final lance. Ing item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid	ed date of led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	respecti	vely. Date require	ed is the initial i	enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00	ation date. Final lance. ng item (except \$0 \$0	date is the estimate for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	ed date of led costs) \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	respecti	vely. Date require	ed is the initial i	nvestig compliantering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ation date. Final lance. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ed date of
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	respecti	vely. Date require	ed is the initial i	nvestig compliant 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	sation date. Final lance. ng item (except	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ed date of
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	respecti	vely. Date require	ed is the initial i	nvestig compli nterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ation date. Final lance. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ed date of led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	respecti	vely. Date require	ed is the initial i	nvestig compliant 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	sation date. Final lance. ng item (except	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ed date of

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN603174293, RN101432268, Rating Year 2013 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2008, through August 31, 2013.

Customer, Respondent, CN603174293, Joe's & Cho, Inc.

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 15.00

or Owner/Operator:

RN101432268, Joe's Future Food

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 15.00

Regulated Entity: **Complexity Points:**

3

Mart

Repeat Violator: NO

CH Group:

01 - Gas Stations with convenience Stores and other Gas Stations

Location:

4225 MILLER AVE FORT WORTH, TX 76119-3646, TARRANT COUNTY

TCEQ Region:

REGION 04 - DFW METROPLEX

ID Number(s):

PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION

PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK NON REGISTERED ID

NUMBER R04101432268

REGISTRATION 66381

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2008 to August 31, 2013

Rating Year: 2013

Rating Date: 09/01/2013

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: April 07, 2014

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Component Period Selected: April 07, 2009 to April 07, 2014

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Margarita Dennis

Phone: (817) 588-5892

ADMINORDER 2009-1930-PST-E (1660 Order-Agreed Order With Denial)

Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

NO

3) If **YES** for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)? N/A

5) If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

Effective Date: 06/26/2010

Classification: Moderate Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.245(2)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to verify proper operation of the Stage II equipment at least once every 12 months. Specifically, the Stage II annual system compliance testing had not been conducted by the due date of April 17, 2009.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.242(3)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition, as specified by the manufacturer and/or any applicable California Air Resources Board Executive Order and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system, including but not limited to absence or disconnection of any component that is part of the approved system. Specifically, the integral faceplate and boot were torn away from the nozzle on dispenser no. 1.

B. Criminal convictions:

N/A

1

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

N/A

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

Data

01/09/20

01/09/2014 (1139128)

CN603174293

Self Report? NO

10

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.242(3)(E)

Description:

At the time of this investigation, the Vapor Escape Guard was missing on the OPW

12VW nozzle attached to Dispenser #2, an alleged violation of this rule.

Self Report?

N (

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.242(3)(C)(iii)

Description:

At the time of this investigation, the Nozzle Boot on the VST Nozzle attached to Dispenser #4 was torn with a slit greater than 1" in length, an alleged violation of

this rule.

F. Environmental audits:

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

J. Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	8	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
JOE'S & CHO, INC. DBA JOE'S	§	
FUTURE FOOD MART	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
RN101432268		

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2014-0568-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On _________, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Joe's & Cho, Inc. dba Joe's Future Food Mart ("Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 382 and Tex. Water Code Ch. 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 4225 Miller Avenue in Fort Worth, Tarrant County, Texas (the "Station").
- 2. The Station consists of one or more sources as defined in Tex. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.003(12).
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about March 31, 2014.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of One Thousand Five Hundred Sixty-Two Dollars (\$1,562) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in

Joe's & Cho, Inc. dba Joe's Future Food Mart DOCKET NO. 2014-0568-PST-E Page 2

Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Three Hundred Twelve Dollars (\$312) of the administrative penalty and Three Hundred Twelve Dollars (\$312) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Nine Hundred Thirty-Eight Dollars (\$938) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 7 monthly payments of One Hundred Thirty-Four Dollars (\$134) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Station, the Respondent is alleged to have failed to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition, as specified by the manufacturer and/or any applicable California Air Resources Board Executive Order ("CARB"), and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242(d)(3)(C) and (E), as documented during an investigation conducted on February 20, 2014. Specifically, the nozzle boot on dispenser no. 4 was torn with a slit greater than one inch in length and the vapor escape guard attached to disperser no. 2 was damaged.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Joe's & Cho, Inc. dba Joe's Future Food Mart, Docket No. 2014-0568-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, install, repair and maintain all Stage II equipment as specified by the manufacturer and/or any applicable CARB Executive Order, and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system, including but not limited to replacing the torn nozzle boot on dispenser no. 4 and the damaged vapor escape guard on dispenser no. 2, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242; and
 - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Joe's & Cho, Inc. dba Joe's Future Food Mart DOCKET NO. 2014-0568-PST-E Page 4

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 2309 Gravel Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76118-6951

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Station operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature

Joe's & Cho, Inc. dba Joe's Future Food Mart DOCKET NO. 2014-0568-PST-E Page 5

could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. Org. Code § 1.002.

8. Under 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

Joe's & Cho, Inc. dba Joe's Future Food Mart DOCKETNO. 2014-0568-PST-E Page 6

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY For the Commission For the Executive Director Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEO, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or falure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted:
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Indreased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions;
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

A-15-14 Signature Date Name (Printed or typed) Title

Authorized Representative of

Joe's & Cho, Inc. dba Jde's Future Food Mart

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agree. Order.